

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,512	05/28/2002	Wolf D. Teuchert	2854	1143
26822 75	590 04/05/2005	EXAMINER		INER
WALTER A. HACKLER			HANEY, MATTHEW J	
	STOL, SUITE B EACH, CA 92660-0755		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2613	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/019,512	TEUCHERT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Matthew Haney	2613		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims		•		
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	ion Papers		•		
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/019,512

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Note: The Examiner requests that the applicant separate the specification into the specific sections listed above and title them appropriately.

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lareau (US 5,668,593).

As for claim 1, Lareau teaches of several individual pictures of a terrain or surface region (6a;6b;6c), taken from the air, are successively electro-optically recorded and digitally stored (Note: data can be stored or displayed, Column 4, Lines 38-41), and combined into a total picture of the terrain or surface region (6a;6b;6c), characterized in that the individual pictures record the full area of the terrain or surface region (6a;6b;6c) to be recorded, however, with different gaps (8) and that at least two individual pictures are digitally superposed by means of coinciding picture sections (11) to obtain the entire picture of the terrain or surface region (6a;6b;6c) (Note: Figures 6 and 7 show how the camera system steps through the capturing, each capture is slightly overlapped by the

Application/Control Number: 10/019,512 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

next so that the pictures can be matched and used to generate a full picture of the terrain, Column 8, Lines 51-67 and Column 9, Lines 1-17).

As for claim 2, Lareau teaches of at least two total pictures of a terrain or surface region (6a;6b;6c) are recorded each from a different perspective (Figure 7 shows two pictures (i.e. 1 and 2) which are taken at different angles).

As for claim 3, Lareau teaches of the detector group (4;41;42;43) has several separated detectors (7;7a;7b) and that, viewed in a certain longitudinal direction (direction of flight 3) of the detector group (4941;42;43) at least one detector (7;7a;7b) covers at least part of the gap (8) between two neighboring detectors (7b;7a) separated in the transverse direction (Note: Figure 14 shows two groups of columns (i.e. groups of detectors) which are separated by a gap (88), this gap would also be seen on the other sides of the column group as well. CL384 and CL1 would be responsible for detecting any image reflected onto that gap. Also, each individual column is separated by a channel stop region (70). So each column, along with the neighboring column, would be responsible for detecting any image reflected onto that region).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lareau (US 5,668,593).

As for claim 4, most of the limitations of the claim are contained in the above rejection of claim 3. Lareau does not explicitly teach that neighboring detectors (7) of the detector group (4;41;42;43) have a smaller separation from one another in the border region than in the center, however, it is considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to have a high resolution (i.e. smaller separation of detectors) at the borders so that the matching of the images (overlapping portions) can be done more accurately (Note: Lareau simply uses a high resolution thoughout, however Lareau states that any combination can be done with the claimed invention, Column 12, Lines 11-27).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Haney whose telephone number is (571) 272-7330. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,512

Art Unit: 2613

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Haney Examiner Art Unit 2613

mjh

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER